REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO

TUESDAY, JULY 9, 2013 7:00 PM

(RDN Board Chambers)

ADDENDUM

LATE DELEGATIONS (Requires Motion)

- Diana Meyer, and Linda Skogstad, re Bylaws No. 813.51, 889.65, 1686, and 1687 Hawthorne Rise Sanitary Sewer Capital Financing Service Establishing Bylaw, and Loan Authorization Bylaw.
- 3 **Ian MacDonnell**, re OCP Application No. 2011-060 Baynes Sound Investments Electoral Area 'H'.

COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

- 4-5 **Coralee Oakes, Minister of Community, Sport and Cultural Development**, re Meetings with the Minister at the 2013 UBCM Convention.
- 6-21 **Dianne Eddy,** re OCP Application No. 2011-060 Baynes Sound Investments Electoral Area 'H'.

TRANSPORTATION AND SOLID WASTE

SOLID WASTE SERVICES

22-24 Waste-To-Energy Meeting with Metro Vancouver Staff.

From: Linda Skogstad Subject: Re: Hawthorne Sewer

We will speak about homeowners concerns and fast-tracking this project.

From: Diana Meyer

Subject: Hawthorne Sewer

Please add Linda Skogstad for the 5 minute speaker space I booked at the Committee as a Whole Meeting on Tuesday, thanks Diana.

From: Ian MacDonell

Sent: Saturday, July 06, 2013 7:35 AM

Subject: Re: Committee of ther Whole meeting July 9 2013

Hi Matt

In the interests of expediency my focus will be to demonstrate to those board members who will not necessarily take part in the consultation plan some of the consequences and impracticality of voting in favor of the RVC.

Tuesday will be a short PowerPoint of pictures of the Marina area, lack of parking for boat trailers and the traffic congestion that will build up in the marina area that will be untenble for the existing residents and the likely closure of the spit as an access to swimming and beach time due to nuisance factors to the residents of the spit.

Thanks for your assistance in all of this

Regards

Ian MacDonell

From: Ian & Sandy MacDonell

Sent: Friday, July 05, 2013 12:44 PM

Subject: Fw: Committee of ther Whole meeting July 9 2013

Hi Matt

Further to my request from yesterday to be a delegation to the Committee of the Whole on July 9th 2013, I see I neglected to include I would be speaking on the BSI Development Application.

I look forward to your confirmation.

Regards

Ian MacDonell



Dear Mayors and Chairs:

It is my pleasure to write to you regarding scheduling appointments at the upcoming annual UBCM Convention taking place in Vancouver, September 16 to 20, 2013. As the new Minister of Community, Sport and Cultural Development, I am particularly looking forward to attending the Convention and meeting with many of you.

You will have recently received a letter from Honourable Christy Clark, Premier, containing information about the online process for requesting a meeting with the Premier and Cabinet Ministers. I am pleased to provide you with information regarding the process for requesting a meeting with me, as well as with provincial government, agency, commission and corporation staff.

If you would like to meet with me at the Convention, please complete the online form at: <u>CSCD Minister's Meeting</u> and submit it to the Ministry of Community, Sport and Cultural Development contact identified before **August 12**, **2013**. Meeting arrangements will be confirmed, or you will be advised of alternate arrangements, by early September. I will do my best to accommodate as many meeting requests as possible.

Ministry staff will be sending you, via email, the provincial appointment book listing all provincial government, agency, commission and corporation staff that are available to meet with delegates at the Convention, as well as providing you with details about the online process for requesting a meeting.

I would also like to remind your community to apply for the "2013 Open for Business Awards," which support government's commitment to implement the BC Small Business Accord. The Award provides \$10,000 for up to 20 local governments that best demonstrate they are operating within the spirit of the BC Small Business Accord principles. The application deadline is July 12, 2013. Instructions for the award and the online version of the application can be found at: www.SmallBusinessRoundtable.ca.

.../2

Mayors and Chairs Page 2

I look forward to another productive Convention and to working with you in the year ahead.

Sincerely,

Coralee Oakes

Minister

pc: Honourable Christy Clark

Premier

Her Worship Mayor Mary Sjostrom

onale Jakes

President

Union of British Columbia Municipalities

Matt O'Halloran Legislative Coordinator Regional District of Nanaimo 250-390-6569

These are additional petitions opposing the RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 received during the last several weeks. Would you please submit them as correspondence for the July 9th, 2013, COW meeting on behalf of the Mapleguard Ratepayers' Association? These are petitions numbered 256 to 270.

Thank you.

Dianne Eddy Mapleguard Ratepayers' Association

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door).

1, BRIAN GAMBITER-KERLY 1, BRIAN LIVE at 1234 GRAFION LO.			
(Print name) (Print address)			
l am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as			
proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).			
Tam opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.			
twould not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.			
lam in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.			
Isupport Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area			
The following statement would support the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.			
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.			
Signature:			
Additional comments are welcome.			

25%

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

1, CLAIRE DEVIN, live at 5095 GAINSBERG RD
(Print name) (Print address)
I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Applica on PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).
I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.
I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.
I am In favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area
The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signature:
Signature:Additional comments are welcome

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

1, STEVE ANDEROSOV live at 600 COWLAND ROAD
(Print name) (Print address)
I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).
I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.
I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.
I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area
The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signature: Mudirosvi
Additional comments are welcome. THIS PROPOSAL SHOULD HAVE BEEN RESECTED BY THE RON BOARD
AS ADVISED BY THEIR PLANNING DEPARTMENT, RIDICULOUS

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

oler objects	
14	ZITA DAWS live at Lady smith BC
(Pr	int name) (Print address)
\boxtimes	I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).
X	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.
\boxtimes	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.
\boxtimes	I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
X	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area
	The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
	Tsupport the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signatu	
Additio	onal comments are welcome.
V	15/TOR WHO LOVES DEEP BAY BOWSER
	LUST THE WAY IT IS.

PAGE 06

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door).

1, 5	USAN FINLAYSON live at 4630 MAPLEGUARD DRIVE (Print address)
X)	I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).
	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.
X	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.
	I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
囚	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area
	The following statement would support the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
	I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signatu Additio	onal comments are welcome.

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at <u>5058 Longview Dr.</u> (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

(Print name) (Print address) I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI). I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development. I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system. I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI). I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development. I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system. I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area. I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep
Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development. I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system. I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area. I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep
treatment system. I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area. I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep
conforms to the form and character of this area. I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep
Bay area
The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signature: Lea O Huyristo
Additional comments are welcome.
I don't want this pappening in My ara!

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

1, Robert Jeulcus, live at 4656 maple Guard Drive (Print name) (Print address) Bowser, PC. I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).
I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.
Twould not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.
I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area
The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signature:Additional comments are welcome.

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1GO

I, Skyeanne Jenkins, live at 4656 Maple Guard Drive (Print name) (Print address) Bowser, B.C. I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).
I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.
I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.
I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.
Tsupport Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area
The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.
Signature: <u>Seyeanne Janeuro</u> Additional comments are welcome.

PAGE 10

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door).

I, EUCABED BIETNEU, live at 4831 OCEAN TOBIL BOUSER &C (Print name) (Print address) Lam opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as			
<u>V</u>	proposed to the intensive dipair development of that residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).		
区	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.		
X	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.		
图	I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.		
Image: section of the	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area		
	The following statement would support the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.		
	I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.		
Signatu Additio	nal comments are welcome.		

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at <u>5058 Longview Dr.</u> (mailbox beside the front door).

1, IAN BIRTWELL live at 4801 OZEAW THAT L BOWSER 30 (Print name) (Print address)		
Ø	I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).	
	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.	
V	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.	
V	I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.	
V	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area	
	The following statement would support the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.	
Signatu	I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay	
Addition	onal comments are welcome. Dept. decision was not to	
Jug.	port this development - Ofter a though review	

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

I, ANDEW MITCHELL, live at HB25, BLUE HERON DR., BON (Print name) (Print address)	CER BC VORIGO						
(Print name) I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural resid proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2 Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).	ential lands as						
新書 (📝) Proceedings (Tributa) Control of the	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.						
I would not support the costly development of a community was treatment system.	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.						
I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential develor conforms to the form and character of this area.	I am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.						
I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the re Bay area	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area						
The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Inve 678 unit development in Deep Bay.	The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.						
I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rura and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive u development in Deep Bay. Signature:							
Additional comments are welcome.							

07/07/2013 22:30



We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1G0

1, <u>B</u>	CORNISH live at 5340 GAINSBERG RD				
	int name) (Print address)				
	I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as				
HEY					
	proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for				
	Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).				
UEZ	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth				
	Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.				
169	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater				
	treatment system.				
	1 am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it				
455	conforms to the form and character of this area.				
	comorms to the form and character or this area.				
Annual Common of the Common of					
467	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep				
	Bay area				
	landa kang berang di kacamatan di kacamatan di kang berang di kanan di kang berang di kanan di kang di kanan d Berang				
	The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments				
	678 unit development in Deep Bay.				
M	I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre				
1, 1	and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban				
لــــا	development in Deep Bay.				
Signature: Ornish					
Additional comments are welcome.					
	가는 사람들이 많은 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 것이 되었다면 하는데 하는데 하는데 되었다면 하는데				

THE EDDYS

PAGE 02

We need your opinion. Please complete the following questionnaire and help your community prevent intensive urban development of rural residential lands. Please return it to one of Mapleguard representatives or drop off at 5058 Longview Dr. (mailbox beside the front door) or mail to: Mapleguard, 5058 Longview Dr., Bowser, BC, VOR 1GO

1,	LLOYD CORNISH, live at 5340 GAINSBERG 13								
	(Print name) (Print address)								
YES	I am opposed to the intensive urban development of rural residential lands as proposed by the RDN RGS and OCP Amendment Application PL2011-060 for Baynes Sound Investments (BSI).								
VE9	I am opposed to Deep Bay being a Rural Village Centre or within a Growth Containment Boundary that would allow intensive residential development.								
HES	I would not support the costly development of a community wastewater treatment system.								
YE9	1 am in favour of restricting Deep Bay to rural residential development as it conforms to the form and character of this area.								
TES	I support Bowser as our only Rural Village Centre serving the residential Deep Bay area								
	The following statement would <u>support</u> the Baynes Sound Investments 678 unit development in Deep Bay.								
四	I support the BSI development with Deep Bay defined as a Rural Village Centre and support changes to the OCP and RGS to support intensive urban development in Deep Bay.								
Signatu	ire: I Conil								
Additional comments are welcome.									

Additional comments are welcome.

07/07/2013	22:41	2507572036	THE EDDYS at 260 Htm BROUGH ROAD. To at 260 Htm	PAGE 04
				135 talanto . C.
			PORT	2010
			22046H	atial lands as
			360 HAMDES walre	sidentia 060 for
		9vil	at wrint address, ment of rule.	PL2011
		Land Heller	han developing Application	
A 1,	A OLD A	1.00	nsive urban Amendment	owth
1, 18th	t name)	ato the ince	s and OCT	within a Gloment.
(brin	am opp	bsed to the d by the RDN RC Sound Investme Sound Investme	at 260 Htm BROWGH ROAD. To print address) Insive urban development of rural reasons and OCP Amendment Application in the last being a Rural Village Centre or any that would allow intensive residence the costly development of a commentation of the costly development of a commentation in the costly development of a cos	rial developing
	-0056	d by the stme	aural Village to reside	um
T/\	prop	Sound "	heing a Kull Intensive	wastewate.
	ויטע	1990) אייי יייע <i>וווע ביי</i>	(()
	~ 0	pposed to	ry that	
	I I am	inment Boar	-ctly developing	alopment as it
\ V				
		I'd not suppo.	Bay being a Rural Village Cerron Bay being a Rural Village Cerron Bay being a Rural Village Cerron Bay that would allow intensive residently that would allow intensive residently the costly development of a comment the costly development of a comment of the costly development of the costly development of the costly development of this area.	Ogen.
_	-1 IMC	atment system.	neep Bay to his area.	-osidential Door
	√ tre	Sture.	restricting baracter of the	arving the res.
		FA FOADUL OI	the costly development of a comment resident restricting Deep Bay to rural resident reside	
	1	am III to the	In Rural Village	estments
	111	outorn	as our only "	- Sound Inves
	-	-ort BOW	ser of the Ba	Aues
		I subbo.	form and the form and the form and the form and the form as our only Rural Village Centre's as our only Rural Village Cen	Village Cein
	[//	Bay area	ig statement would supply legislated in Deep Bay. Welopment in Deep Bay. The BSI development with Deep Bay ont changes to the OCP and RGS to see the oct on the Deep Bay. Ment in Deep Bay.	ad as a Rular
		Mowin	ig state in Deep	defined intensive und
		The fore de	veloping of with Deep	support
		678 Ulii	at development och and RG3 to	
		-ort	the BSI us to the Us.	
		1 suppor	ort change Bay.	
		and supr	ment in Dear	
		develop	ort changes to the ment in Deep Bay. Multiple Changes to the state of	
			2 MCALL	



RDN REPORT (
ACAO APPROVAL ATE							
EAP							
cow							
		0 4 2013					
RHD							
BOARD							
		The second secon					

MEMORANDUM

TO: Paul Thorkelsson DATE: July 3, 2013

Chief Administrative Officer

FROM: Dennis Trudeau FILE: 5365-22

General Manager of Transportation and Solid Waste

SUBJECT: Waste-To-Energy Meeting with Metro Vancouver Staff

PURPOSE

To update the Board on a meeting held between Regional District of Nanaimo staff and Metro Vancouver regarding their process for developing a waste-to-energy facility.

BACKGROUND

As a result of a letter dated May 15, 2013 from Metro Vancouver to the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) Chair and members of the Board regarding Phase 2: Potential Site Identification of their Waste-to-Energy (WTE) Capacity Procurement Process, the Board approved the following motion.

That the Board report on Metro Vancouver's potential WTE site identification be received and that staff submit comments to Metro Vancouver indicating the RDN requires a detailed consultation process if there is a proposal that involves siting a Waste-To-Energy (WTE) facility on Vancouver Island, and that Metro Vancouver staff be requested to meet with the Capital Regional District, Cowichan Valley Regional District, Comox Valley Regional District, Strathcona Regional District and the Regional District of Nanaimo to provide additional detail on their proposed process to increase their WTE capacity.

A letter that addressed the above motion was prepared and sent to Metro Vancouver's Chairperson. In addition a meeting has taken place between the staff of Metro Vancouver, Capital Regional District, Cowichan Valley Regional District, Comox Valley Regional District and the RDN to provide additional detail on their proposed process to increase their WTE capacity. The Strathcona Regional District was also invited to attend but staff were not available for the meeting.

Due to common interests in managing solid waste on Vancouver Island, staff have held periodic meetings with the Capital Regional District, the Cowichan Valley Regional District and the Comox Valley Regional District. It has proven to be an excellent venue for exchanging information common to local governments on Vancouver Island.

Page 2

At the meeting Metro Vancouver confirmed that they are currently at the early stages of Phase 2 of the following 8 phase program to develop an additional 370,000 tonnes per year of WTE capacity:

- **Phase 1:** Request for qualifications (RFQ) focused on technology, October 2012 June 2013;
- Phase 2: Potential Site Identification Process, February November 2013;
- **Phase 3:** RFQ 2 Technology and Sites (process to evaluate each qualified proponent's combination of technology and site) September 2013 May 2014;
- Phase 4: Request for proposals (RFP): Short-listed Proponents and Sites, May 2014 January 2015;
- [Phase 5 to Phase 8 will be dependent on the outcome of Phase 4.]
- Phase 5: Regulatory and Environmental Assessment Processes, April 2014 October 2016;
- Phase 6: Detailed Design/Construction, April 2015 2018;
- Phase 7: Commissioning and Operation, 2018; and,
- Phase 8: Monitoring, 2018 and ongoing.

Metro Vancouver staff have commented that the project is very complicated and is designed to be a fair process that is sensitive to environmental, economic and social concerns. The Province has made it a requirement that their WTE site identification process must include the assessment of out-of-district sites, which adds an additional level of complexity.

In order to ensure the process adheres to the above-stated goals they have a fairness advisor reviewing their decisions. In addition the decisions made at each phase of the project will be reviewed by an expert panel independent of Metro Vancouver.

Sites that have been identified by their approved shortlist of proponents will have to enter into agreements to purchase or options to lease. Metro Vancouver has indicated that the successful site and facility must, in the end, be owned by Metro Vancouver regardless of its location. At that time any out-of-region sites will be communicated to the appropriate local government. The expected timeline for this to occur is at the end of 2013.

Metro Vancouver has stated that once the site and technology has been confirmed, the project will have to go through a full environmental assessment. This would be the same assessment process that the Raven Underground Coal Project has been undergoing the past three years.

Staff have advised Metro Vancouver that there would be significant concern with any siting of a facility within the RDN.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. That the Board report on the staff meeting with Metro Vancouver be received.
- 2. That alternate direction be given to staff.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications to receiving this report.

SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS

Due to common interests in how Vancouver Island regional districts manage solid waste, staff have held periodic meetings with the CRD, the Cowichan Valley Regional District and the Comox Valley Regional District. As a result of Metro Vancouver's intent to identify potential sites for a WTE facility a recent meeting was held with Metro Vancouver staff and staff from the above-mentioned regional districts.

Metro Vancouver has commented that the project is designed to be a fair process that is sensitive to environmental, economic and social concerns. Metro Vancouver has stated that once the site and technology has been confirmed, the project will have to go through a full environmental assessment. This would be the same assessment process that the Raven Underground Coal Project has been undergoing the past three years.

Sites that have been identified by their approved shortlist of proponents will have to enter into agreements to purchase or options to lease. At that time any out-of-region sites will be communicated to the appropriate local government. The expected timeline for this to occur is at the end of 2013.

Staff have advised Metro Vancouver that there would be significant concern with any siting of a facility within the RDN.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Board report on the staff meeting with Metro Vancouver be received.

Report Writer

24