

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO

**COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 9, 2007**

A D D E N D U M

PAGES

DELEGATION (Requires motion)

2 **Doug Catley**, re Local Agriculture, Local Farming and Processing of Food.

COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

3 **B. Grose**, re Animal Control Bylaw Enforcement.

Lee, Clair

To: Burgoyne, Linda
Subject: RE: Nan. Reg. Dist. Request to appear.doc

From: Michelle and Doug Catley [mailto:catley@telus.net]
Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2007 1:35 PM
To: Burgoyne, Linda; Burgoyne, Linda
Cc: Doug Catley
Subject: Nan. Reg. Dist. Request to appear.doc

From.
Doug Catley
PO Box 86 Stn A
Nanaimo, B.C.
V9R 5K4.

Phone: 250 753 4861

catley@telus.net

Oct 4, 2007.

Attn: Linda Burgoyne corpsrv@rdn.bc.ca

This is to follow up my phone call and is a request to appear as a delegation at the next Regional District Meeting. I understand that the meeting is to be held Oct. 9, 2007. I understand that I will have 5 minutes due to the lateness of my request.

I would like to address the council regarding support for local agriculture and local farming and processing of our food.

If you need any other information please call me.

I suspect that you will call me for some kind of confirmation. Is that true?

Sincerely,

L.D. Catley

04/10/2007

Regional District Meeting
October 10 2007

Response to the position taken related to changes to animal control regulations in area 68.

It is my understanding that the status quo is to be maintained and the regulations governing animal control and the in particular control of viscous animals it is the recommendation of the district that no changes be made or are required.

The statement that how my complaint was handled and that it appears the outcome is an exception I believe is entirely true. What I would point out is that the handling of the situation was in fact not an exception but more the exception was that the regional district was dealing with me and I failed to become frustrated enough to quit bringing it forward.

Nothing in the past number of months has changed at all. The animal has been deemed viscous and is still wandering the streets at will. Calls are made to the NAS on a regular basis and the response from my experience is a drive by and a call the animal cannot be found. Correct me if I am wrong when I say the control officers are not visiting the owner each time the animal is reported roaming or going on the property to ensure the animal is properly confined. I am fully aware the NAS has had a number of calls from various residence related to this animal in the past weeks and the situation and response remains the same. I called again last night.

The by-law as written and administered fails to take into consideration the safety and security of the community and must be addressed. The fact the animal cannot be found wandering the streets when the officers are in attendance and clearly even if they do does not address the root of the problem. The animal wandering the neighbourhood is the symptom of the problem and the owner is obviously the root cause of the problem. The NAS and the Regional District are both either afraid or concerned with possible costs (as outlined by Maude Mackey) and in such will not address the root problem.

I find the Regional District dialect in its duties and responsibilities as contracted with me as a resident of the Regional District. As outline in my previous communication I will hold the Regional District responsible for any and all future issues related to this situation going forward.

Respectfully submitted
W.A. (Bill) Grose
2530 Myles Lake Road
Nanaimo